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ABSTRACT

The ultimate goal of any operative procedure is to restore the tooth to its original form and function within the arch as well as to reestablish esthetics wherever applicable. The primary objective of the endodontic therapy is the elimination of bacteria and their by-products, pulpal remnants, and debris from the root canal system. To achieve this goal, the biomechanical aspects of the tooth structure are often compromised, leading to the questionable prognosis of the restorative factor of endodontically treated teeth (ETT). The current technological advancements in the field of endodontics that preserves more natural tooth structure and adhesive dentistry that enables better restoration of the lost tooth structure, when used in the optimal way, can pave a path for the right balance between the endodontic and restorative components of teeth. This narrative review highlights the ways in which the right balance can be achieved between the two.
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INTRODUCTION

It is always better to start with the end in mind…

The primary goal of the endodontic therapy is to render the root canal system completely free of bacteria and its toxins, tissue remnants, and debris and to biologically seal the entire system to prevent reinfection. To achieve this objective, various procedures and materials are used that subsequently have a deleterious effect on the structural integrity of the tooth (Fig. 1). On the other hand, the primary objective of any restorative procedure is to restore the tooth to its original form, function, and esthetics that can survive for a reasonably long period of life. This can be achieved only by retaining as much natural tissue as possible without affecting their biomechanical properties. Hence, the ultimate goal of the endodontic treatment should thereby be not only to achieve the biologic objectives mentioned above but also to leave behind a restoratively viable tooth structure that when restored can withstand the forces of mastication and function for a very long time¹ (Fig. 2).

FAILURE OF ENDOodontically TREATED TEETH

Though endodontic treatment is a predictable procedure with more than 95% success rate,² the failure of ETT due to nonmicrobial and biological factors remains a bone of contention for the clinician. Aggressive endodontic treatment procedures, be it extensive cavity preparations, wide tapered coronal and apical instrumentation, or aggressive obturation techniques, may result in irreparable vertical root fracture (VRF) that is catastrophic (Fig. 3). The remaining tooth structure is the key factor determining long-term prognosis of ETT.³ Coronal tooth structure loss may be due to caries, trauma, or access cavity preparation, while radicular dentin is lost due to pathological resorption and during shaping and cleaning of the root canals.⁴ The reasons for the extraction of ETT are manifold, the major reasons as stated by Touré et al. being periodontal diseases (40.3%), followed by endodontic failure (19.3%), nonrestorable crown fractures (15.1%), VRF (13.4%), and others (10.2%).⁵ The most commonly extracted tooth is the mandibular molar without cuspal coverage (94%).⁵ The failure of ETT under function resulting in fracture (that cannot be restored) is the outcome of two opposing etiological factors. These include the inherent biomechanical properties of the remaining dentin that contributes to the fracture resistance as against the degree of stress endured by the weakened tooth structure under occlusal load, which makes it prone to fracture.³

PradeepKumar et al. studied the factors predisposing to VRF in ETT and found that elderly individuals, females, posterior teeth, overfilled teeth, and teeth with crowns are more prone to VRF.⁶ Non-ETT may also fracture, predominantly in elderly males with heavy masticatory forces and/or preexisting tooth structure loss due to parafunctional habits.²⁸

With more and more people of the older age group seeking to retain their teeth, long-term retention of such endodontically treated compromised tooth structure becomes a daunting task. This compromise may be age related, physiologic and pathologic changes in the dentin, previous restorative procedures performed, tissue damage due to oral conditions over time, etc.

Kishen proposed the mechanisms of fracture resistance of dentin and the fracture predilection of ETT.⁴ It is important to understand the biomechanics of dentin to enable better handling of the tissue to achieve an ideal prognosis.

Generally, the tooth type, root dentin thickness, root canal diameter and cross-sectional shape and taper of the root canal...
instruments used, preparation methods, size of the apical preparation, and post space preparation have an influence on the fracture resistance of ETT. The thinner the root dentin, the greater is the risk of fracture; circular canals show lower and more uniform stress distribution than oval canals.

**Biomechanics of Dentin**

The study of a biological structure and its function using principles of engineering mechanics is known as biomechanics. The viscoelastic behavior of dentin can be attributed to its water content, where the organic collagen provides toughness and the inorganic portion is responsible for the stiffness and ultimate compressive strength of dentin. Dentin is stronger in compression than in tension. The water present in the dentin along with the confined pulpal environment and the fluid within the dentinal tubules helps in absorption and distribution of the stresses, preventing fracture of dentin.

The biomechanical behavior of dentin can be altered at three levels due to endodontic treatment, namely, tissue composition, dentin macro- and microstructure, and overall tooth structure.

Figs 1A to C: Successful endodontic treatment with doubtful restorative prognosis in ETT. (A) Retreatment of a mandibular molar with previous crown and a large periapical lesion attempted. Intracanal placement of CaOH; (B) Immediate post-obturation radiograph. Note the aggressive coronal preparation; (C) 2-year follow-up radiograph shows adequate healing of the periapical lesion. However, an inadequate coronal tooth structure with lack of ferrule (red arrows) resulting in loss of crown.

Fig. 2: Restoratively viable ETT. Courtesy: Dr Leeba Varghese, MDS, Kottayam, Kerala

Figs 3A to C: Retreatment of (A) a mandibular molar with aggressive removal of (B) radicular dentin in the coronal third of the root, that may predispose to VRF; (C) Maxillary central incisor with VRF caused due to aggressive preparation with weakened tooth structure.
Major changes in tooth biomechanics are due to loss of the tooth structure as a result of caries, cavity preparation, including access cavities in ETT. The effect of coronal preparation is discussed in detail later in the article. It is also found that after endodontic treatment only up to 9% of predominantly free water content is lost, while bound water remains intact. However, a study done by Papa et al. showed no difference in the moisture content of both the teeth. No difference in the collagen cross-linkage or chemical alterations due to loss of the pulp tissue was reported.

Though the loss of the moisture content is said to be about only 6–9%, this may not translate to the clinical scenario as most of these studies have been done on intact but extracted teeth, without intact pulp tissue and the associated intrapulpal pressure. An intact tooth consists of vital pulp with more than 90% water content and an intrapulpal pressure of 10–28 mm Hg that results in an outward flow of the fluid into the dentinal tubules. The loss of this water-rich pulp tissue from the root canal space and the free water from the dentinal tubules when the root canal is dried and dehydrated before obturation may affect the mechanical properties of dentin.

As age progresses, due to physiological and pathological processes, more and more minerals are deposited replacing the water and increasing the brittleness of dentin, resulting in reduced mechanical properties. Fracture toughness of transparent dentin is 20% lower, one of the reasons being loss of the water content. Though the number of mineral-filled tubules increases all along the entire length of the root with age, the occlusion ratio and the mineral–collagen ratio were primarily found more in the apical and middle thirds of the root. Moreover, sclerosis begins in the mesial and distal quarters of the root dentin and then progresses to the buccal and lingual aspects creating a “butterfly effect,” again more pronounced in the apical and middle thirds of the root. Similarly, microcracks were found to be present in higher percentage in non-ETT of elderly patients (8.3%) as compared to younger teeth (3.7%), more in the mesiodistal direction. Whether there is a correlation between the “butterfly effect” and the microcracks and their effect on VRF is not clear. Russell et al. showed that tubule density was lower in the mesiodistal directions compared to the buccocingual, while microhardness was higher in the mesiodistal directions. This may explain the prevalence of VRF in the buccocingual direction.

The flexural strength of coronal dentin reduces from about 150 MPa to 80 MPa, with an approximate reduction of 25 MPa per decade. The average reduction of total strength is about 40%, while the endurance limit is reduced by 30%. This deterioration is accentuated in ETT.

An optimum balance between stiffness (mineralization) and dynamic toughness (collagen and water of hydration) is crucial for the structural stability of dentin.

With enhanced vision and illumination, the success rate of endodontic treatment is steadily increasing. Within this framework, if preservation of sound coronal and radicular dentin is included, along with application of adhesive concepts, it will bridge the gap between traditional aggressive endodontics and extensive prosthodontics management. This encompasses the concept of minimal invasive dentistry in the endodontic procedure.

**Minimal Invasive Endodontics**

Minimal invasive endodontics (MIE) is the term used to denote endodontic procedures done with as minimal intervention as possible with maximal protection of the natural tooth structure. Minimal invasive endodontics involves judicial care of three areas—access cavity, apical preparation, and cervical area (pericervical dentin) of the tooth.

Straight-line access to the apical region is one of the principles of old-school endodontics. Previously, the access cavity was prepared with an occlusal flare to accommodate the inflexible hand stainless steel instruments and the greater taper NiTi rotary instruments. The principle of modern conservative endodontic access cavity is to remove as little tooth structure as is necessary, without compromising the mechanical objectives of cleaning and shaping (C and S). Endodontic access conducted through extremely small openings (ninja access) may result in not only improper C and S but also underfilled root canal system. Studies show that ultraconservative ninja access results in missed canals, incomplete removal of the pulp tissue with no significant increase in the fracture resistance of these teeth. With the routine use of magnification for endodontics, access need not necessarily mean straight-line visibility of all the canal orifices.

Root canal instrumentation will cause dentinal defects in the root dentin that undergoes mechanical cycling subsequently during obturation and later during function as well. Maximum risk of root fracture is found with over-instrumentation with resultant excessive removal of radicular dentin, especially in noncircular, oval canals with thin roots. Flexibility of each root canal instrument decreases with increasing the core diameter, regardless of its design and alloy composition/type of alloy. Consequently, straightening tendency is more pronounced when enlarging severely curved canals to a greater apical preparation size. Modifications in the metallurgy of the NiTi alloy (M wire, Controlled Memory, ECM) resulting in more flexible rotary and reciprocating instruments are shown to preserve the root canal curvatures better. However, both rotary and reciprocating technics produce microcracks in the radicular dentin that may predispose to VRF. Apart from these motions, other instrument kinematics including self-adjusting files (SAF), XP endo shaper and finisher, and TRUShape 3D have been introduced that are supposed to be less invasive, and better in preserving root dentin, at the same time well effective in cleaning the irregular and inaccessible canal intricacies.

Other factors affecting the fracture resistance and fracture patterns are curvature of the external root surface, canal size and shape, and dentin thickness. Chatvanitkul and Lertchirakarn showed that increase in curvature of the external root surface resulted in increase in volume and area of stress concentration in root dentin.

Reduced taper of instruments results in lesser dentin removal; favoring 4% taper NiTi files over greater taper, with 2% hand instruments producing significantly lesser microcracks compared to rotary files.

The modulus of elasticity (MOE) and flexural strength of dentin may be influenced by the irrigants and intracanal medicaments used during C and S; these products interact with the mineral and organic contents of dentin. The most commonly used irrigant is sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) in various concentrations. The tissue-dissolving and antibacterial effect of NaOCl is concentration and time dependent. However, prolonged exposure to higher concentrations is accentuated in ETT.
Concentrations of NaOCl have deleterious effects on the root dentin. Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA—17%) used as a final irrigant removes the smear layer formed during the root canal instrumentation. Both NaOCl and EDTA remove the organic and inorganic content of dentin, respectively, resulting in undesirable effects on properties such as flexural strength, MOE, and microhardness. Some disinfectants, on the other hand, are known to increase the tensile strength of dentin via protein coagulation (formocresol) and chelation (eugenol) with hydroxyapatite.

Obturation forces of lateral and vertical compaction may cause craze lines in the dentin that may predispose to VRF, especially in larger tapered preparations. Techniques that deliver obturating materials with minimal application forces and thoroughly fill the canals without necessitating wider preparations is essential to reduce its effect on the mechanical properties of root dentin.

With enhanced vision and target-specific equipments, a more precise and conservative access cavity with minimal intervention, an enhanced irrigation protocol using various advanced irrigating systems, and use of biomaterials for root canal obturation, preservation of more natural dentin becomes possible, thus improving the survival rate of ETT (Fig. 4).

**Influence of Cavity Preparation on Tooth Stiffness**

Though it is understood that access cavity preparation reduces stiffness of the teeth to some extent, occlusal cavity designs are known to significantly affect the tooth flexure at the cemento-enamel junction (CEJ). A study done by González-López et al. evaluated the influence of increasing dimensions of cavity designs, including access cavities, on cuspal deflection. Moreover, they evaluated these responses at increasing occlusal loads as well. They found that there was a significant increase in the cuspal deflections as the dimensions of the cavity increased. This deflection was also proportional to the load applied. They further noted that removal of both the marginal ridges along with an endodontic access resulted in a fivefold increase in the cuspal deflection under normal occlusal load to a tenfold increase when the load doubled. A conservative access cavity with a class I configuration reduces stiffness by about 5% only. The largest reduction in stiffness is with occluso-proximal cavities, especially those involving both the marginal ridges. Apart from this, depth of the cavity, width of the isthmus, and configuration are highly critical factors in determining tooth stiffness and resistance to fracture.

Loss of anatomical structures and the resultant cuspal deflection, along with loss of protective feedback mechanism of vital teeth in an ETT, contribute to their increased susceptibility to fracture. The order of increased tooth deformation in ETT starts with intact teeth followed by access cavity preparation, after endodontic treatment and after post space preparation, with the latter showing the maximum deflection.

The current trend of MIE-driven conservative access cavity preparation avoiding straight-line access to all canal orifices, at the same time, using the flexibility of the glide path files and the rotary instruments used, without compromising on the biological aspects of cleaning and shaping, can result in an adequately restored ETT that can survive for a long period of time in the oral cavity. The advocates of the so-called “ninja” and “truss” accesses suggest that coronal dentin preservation is of prime importance; however, its justification has still not been proven and studies have shown that...
this is achieved at the expense of incomplete removal of the roof of the pulp chamber, thereby reducing its visibility and removal of pulpal remnants. However, Clark and Khademí’s directed dentin conservation (DDC) concept with contracted access design and soft tissue preservation has been accepted by many to be beneficial to the long-term prognosis of the ETT.\textsuperscript{43}

**Post-endodontic Restorations (PERs)**

The best restorative option for a given clinical situation has always been controversial, even with the plethora of materials and techniques available.\textsuperscript{11} The selection of the restorative techniques including the choice of material for the ETT to be restored should be considered after evaluating many factors, which have been enumerated by the AAE guidelines\textsuperscript{44} as follows:

- Amount of remaining tooth structure
- Length, width, and curvature of the roots
- Occlusal function
- Opposing dentition
- Position of tooth in the arch.

Peroz et al. categorized remaining tooth structure into five classes based on the number of remaining walls from class I with all four walls remaining through to class V, the decoronated tooth with no remaining wall. This may be used for determining the type of PER to be placed.\textsuperscript{45} However, the information provided in this classification is inadequate, as it does not consider the thickness of the residual dentin on the walls, which is also important. Zarow et al. proposed a new classification system for restoration of ETT based on the restorative options available and considering many other factors.\textsuperscript{46} Most of the clinical studies fail to provide meaningful information about the biomechanical status of the ETT, hence the indication and performance of many materials and techniques become ambiguous.\textsuperscript{47}

Most of the studies done with PERs revolve around the need for post and their influence on the fracture resistance of the restoration and the tooth. The core materials, if adhesive in nature, may not necessitate post placement or cuspal coverage, provided adequate tooth structure is available for bonding. When performing metallic restorations such as silver amalgam or gold alloys, cuspal coverage may become mandatory for long-term sustenance.\textsuperscript{11} Extensive access cavities involving one or more marginal ridges, when restored with amalgam or composite resin, tend to fracture over a period of time, if cuspal coverage is not done.\textsuperscript{1}

The newer classification takes into consideration the amount of remaining radicular and coronal tooth structure, the function of the tooth in the arch; and the restorative options range from the composite core build up to fiber posts to cast gold posts to prerestorative extrusion procedures.\textsuperscript{46} However, the type of PER cannot be decided based only on the available tooth structure but is also based on the understanding of the effects of occlusion on the endo-restorative continuum.

**Endo-endorestorative–Prosthodontic Continuum and Occlusion**

The effect of occlusal forces and stresses cannot be ignored, as the teeth have to survive in a dynamic oral environment. During mastication, the teeth will be under stress due to the occlusal forces acting on them. The distribution of these stresses depends upon the direction of load applied, the shape of the tooth involved, and the angle at which it is incident on the surface of the tooth.\textsuperscript{4} Loss of pulp and continuity with the periodontal ligament results in reduced proprioception of the tooth, which can lead to uncontrolled occlusal forces.\textsuperscript{46} The long-term success of the PER depends on the ability of the restorative material and the tooth structure, along with the interface between the two, to withstand and function under these stresses.

Forces acting on anterior teeth are different than those acting on molars.\textsuperscript{43} Though premolars are subjected to major compressive components during function, they also undergo a shear stress at the extremely delicate cervical area of the tooth. While molars are subjected to predominantly larger compressive forces, the anteriors undergo more shear stresses directed at the cervical area, with compressive occlusal forces being negligible.\textsuperscript{43}

Maxillary anterior teeth are subjected to milder and more oblique shear stresses, hence the neck of the tooth should be of primary concern. Though molars undergo heavier occlusal forces, most of them are vertical and compressive in nature; hence, a post is not necessary even if there is extensive loss of the coronal tooth structure, provided a ferrule of at least 1 mm is present all around.\textsuperscript{43} Hence, PERs cannot be generalized based on the amount of remaining tooth structure alone.

The intensity of stresses and stress concentrations increase significantly when the load applied is not along the long axis of the tooth. This is more pronounced in post-retained ETT, due to increased stiffness of the post and angulation of the post in relation to the direction of the force, along with increased flexure of the remaining tooth structure.\textsuperscript{4}

Generally molars can withstand high compressive stresses, since they act along the long axis of the tooth. The buccal cusps of lower molars and the palatal cusps of the upper molars take up most of the compressive loading. Their opposing cusps are subjected to tensile stresses along their cuspal inclines that may lead to their fracture if there is a prolonged breach in the intercuspal region (Fig. 5).

Keeping all the factors in mind, the occlusal design is planned so as to reduce the magnitude of stresses by providing occlusal points of contact with the opposing teeth.\textsuperscript{45} Possible occlusal interferences should also be identified and adjusted.\textsuperscript{46}

**Ferrule and Ferrule Effect**

There are two ways of defining a ferrule. It is a 360° encircling band of metal that embraces the circumference of the tooth structure around the cervical area.\textsuperscript{4} This ferrule is given on the fabricated core/crown (and named as core ferrule or crown ferrule, respectively) so as to reinforce the remaining tooth structure during PER. However, the same ferrule needs to be achieved in the tooth itself, which then can be defined as a circumferential area of axial dentin coronal to the preparation margin or cervical area of the tooth.\textsuperscript{45}

The ferrule effect is the protective effect that results from the presence of a ferrule that resists stresses caused by the wedging effect of the posts and the functional lever forces that act during masticatory load.\textsuperscript{48} Without this ferrule, ETT cannot be considered restorable and the best option would be extraction followed by an implant. To add further to this, the traditional ferrule is not the only determining factor for the long-term stability of the tooth. Hence it is more apt to use the concept of the three-dimensional (3D) ferrule.
direct/indirect procedure affect the performance of PERs over time. There are two methods for PERs: direct and indirect restorations. With either of the methods, use of a post is warranted when retention of the core is needed. Earlier treatment protocols included cuspal coverage; more specifically, crowns were part of the PER. Crowns act as an extracoronal “brace” that encircles the entire cervical part of the tooth and prevents shattering of the root due to its hugging action. However, with advances in adhesive technology and materials that can be further reinforced to improve their mechanical properties, direct composite resins have been used routinely without posts, when adequate ferrule is present all around the circumference of the tooth. Ideally, the core material should possess the following properties: improved compressive strength to resist intraoral forces of mastication, CTE similar to that of dentin, the ability to bond to the remaining tooth structure, minimal water sorption, and inhibition of dental caries. Glass ionomer cement is generally not used as a core material for this purpose, except in very conservative access cavities with intact occlusal surfaces and as a base for covering the root canal orifices during preparation of the tooth for inlays, onlays, and endocrowns. Here it is advantageous to use glass ionomer cement (GIC) due to its chemical adhesion and anticariogenic property.

Though the use of composite resins for PERs has been routinely accepted, they are still not used in extensive restorations, especially in high stress-bearing areas, as they have been shown to possess poor fracture resistance in all cavity designs. This is due to the poor adhesive interface and bond strength, which is the lowest at the pulpal floor, followed by radicular dentin and the chamber wall. In such situations, composite resins reinforced with particulate ceramic fillers, whiskers, and short fibers that improve their mechanical properties have been routinely found to be effective in reinforcing ETT. Eapen et al. proved that when both the marginal ridges are involved, chamber reinforcement with the short fiber composite (SFC) not only improves fracture resistance but all the fractures associated with SFC restorations were only in the enamel or dentin coronal to the cervical region of the tooth, favoring repair (Fig. 6). Further, using bulk fill composites such as a flowable composite as a base over the root canal orifices creates lower stresses in the ETT. Direct bonded restorations recover tooth stiffness by up to 88% of that of an unaltered tooth.

To summarize, commonly used core materials are cast gold, silver amalgam, composite resins, and reinforced glass ionomer.

3D Ferrule
The 3D ferrule is the backbone of prosthodontics reconstruction, the single most essential component for the reinforcement of the ETT, that can be defined as the axial wall dentin that encircles the cervical area of the tooth and that is covered by the axial wall of the crown. It differs slightly from the traditional ferrule in that it includes three components: traditional ferrule, dentin girth, and total occlusal convergence.

The minimum axial height that is required ranges between 1.5 and 2.5 mm of the tooth structure (traditional ferrule). This does not take into account any restorative material used to achieve this ferrule. The second important component is the thickness of remaining dentin (dentin girth), mainly at the level of the CE junction. The dentin thickness between the finish line on the external tooth surface and the inner wall of the endodontic access cavity gains importance, more so as the finish line moves apically, since the tooth circumference reduces further apically. The third component of the 3D ferrule is the net taper (total occlusal convergence) of the external tooth preparation, which is the near parallelism of the opposing axial walls.

Achieving a 3D ferrule may be a challenge in ETT; part of the ferrule may be derived from the core material. If not, then a partial ferrule is better than no ferrule at all.

Pericervical Dentin (PCD)
The area of dentin at the plane of the alveolar crest region (4 mm above and below) is considered critical for the long-term survival of ETT. The fracture resistance and the prognosis of ETT is directly related to the amount of PCD present. Huynh et al. evaluated the effect of adhesive bonding on the PCD and showed that though the bonded PCD had favorable results under physiological load levels, with micro-strain distribution away from the apical region, under cyclic loading there was no significant difference with unbonded specimens. The orifice-directed DDC concept is directed at preserving this PCD to the maximum.

Posts and Core Materials
Factors such as restorative materials used, cuspal coverage and direct/indirect procedure affect the performance of PERs over time. With either of the methods, use of a post is warranted when retention of the core is needed. Earlier treatment protocols included cuspal coverage; more specifically, crowns were part of the PER. Crowns act as an extracoronal “brace” that encircles the entire cervical part of the tooth and prevents shattering of the root due to its hugging action. However, with advances in adhesive technology and materials that can be further reinforced to improve their mechanical properties, direct composite resins have been used routinely without posts, when adequate ferrule is present all around the circumference of the tooth. Ideally, the core material should possess the following properties: improved compressive strength to resist intraoral forces of mastication, CTE similar to that of dentin, the ability to bond to the remaining tooth structure, minimal water sorption, and inhibition of dental caries. Glass ionomer cement is generally not used as a core material for this purpose, except in very conservative access cavities with intact occlusal surfaces and as a base for covering the root canal orifices during preparation of the tooth for inlays, onlays, and endocrowns. Here it is advantageous to use glass ionomer cement (GIC) due to its chemical adhesion and anticariogenic property. Though the use of composite resins for PERs has been routinely accepted, they are still not used in extensive restorations, especially in high stress-bearing areas, as they have been shown to possess poor fracture resistance in all cavity designs. This is due to the poor adhesive interface and bond strength, which is the lowest at the pulpal floor, followed by radicular dentin and the chamber wall. In such situations, composite resins reinforced with particulate ceramic fillers, whiskers, and short fibers that improve their mechanical properties have been routinely found to be effective in reinforcing ETT. Eapen et al. proved that when both the marginal ridges are involved, chamber reinforcement with the short fiber composite (SFC) not only improves fracture resistance but all the fractures associated with SFC restorations were only in the enamel or dentin coronal to the cervical region of the tooth, favoring repair (Fig. 6). Further, using bulk fill composites such as a flowable composite as a base over the root canal orifices creates lower stresses in the ETT. Direct bonded restorations recover tooth stiffness by up to 88% of that of an unaltered tooth.

To summarize, commonly used core materials are cast gold, silver amalgam, composite resins, and reinforced glass ionomer.

Figs 5A and B: Weakened cusps in ETT. (A) Buccal cusps of mandibular molars are subjected to predominantly compressive stresses (blue arrows), while shear stresses are directed toward the lingual cusps (red arrows) that may result in (B) their fracture if the tooth is left unrestored. Courtesy: Dr Anand, MDS, III year, SRM Dental College, Ramapuram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
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But indirect cast gold requires multiple visits with castings to be done, while amalgam is in its phase-out stage. Reinforced GIC is still shown to be inferior to conventional composite resins in large cavity designs. Hence, it is advisable to use a reinforcing fiber/SFC when using a conventional composite resin alone as a core restoration.

Sometimes it is imperative to restore the tooth prior to the start of the endodontic procedure when one or both marginal ridges/proximal surfaces are completely denuded due to caries, especially when the tooth carries higher risk of cuspal deflection and consequent irreparable fracture (Fig. 7).

In some clinical situations, it is necessary to perform indirect metallic or nonmetallic bonded intracoronal and/or full coverage restorations, especially when proper proximal contours and contacts need to be established, the entire occlusal surface has to be reproduced, loss of functional cusps of posteriors and the palatal wall of anterior teeth, etc. In the present context of minimal invasive dentistry (MID), wherever possible, intracoronal retention in the form of inlays with cusp coverage or onlays and endocrowns are preferred for restoration of ETT as compared to the use of two different materials in the form of a core and crown. These intracoronal restorations preserve the dentin girth and provide the ferrule effect in a more effective way (Fig. 8).

With regards to the use of posts, a meta-analysis of fracture resistance of cast posts versus fiber posts showed that cast posts had significantly higher resistance to fracture than fiber posts. However, fractures that occurred with cast posts were catastrophic in nature, with oblique or horizontal fractures in the middle third of the roots or VRF, while those of fiber posts were repairable with either fractures of the core or in the cervical thirds of the root.

Similar fate as metal posts is also observed with zirconia or titanium posts due to the rigidity of the posts.

The possible reason for favorable fracture and fracture resistance with FRC posts is their similar MOE to that of dentin that dissipates stress effectively. Since it is a prefabricated post, the space between such posts and the root canal wall is wider, and this space gets filled with the resin cement that is used to lute the post. This thick cement layer may act as a stress absorber under occlusal loading, thereby dissipating the forces and transmitting lesser stresses onto the roots. This is further reiterated by two studies done by Kathuria et al. and Ambica et al., in which they have used human dentin milled and shaped to the form of a post (1.5 mm diameter and 17 mm length) and showed better fracture resistance compared to FRC posts. Uniform stress distribution and shock-absorbing potential of dentin posts seem to be better as they form a biomechanically homogenous unit with the root dentin, suggesting that posts with similar physical properties as the remaining root dentin show the best results.

This may be applied to the monoblock concept as well.

**Monoblock Concept**

For a monoblock to function effectively as one unit, the materials that make up the monoblock should bond to the substrate strongly. One probable example of a primary monoblock in the root canal can be root canals completely obturated with mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) as an apexification procedure. The Ca²⁺ and OH⁻ ions released during hydration of MTA interact with tissue fluid and forms interfacial deposits similar to apatite; hence, MTA can be considered as a monoblock, though it does not bond to dentin and
Figs 7A to F: Preendodontic buildup. Preoperative (A) Radiograph and (B) Clinical picture of upper premolars with extensive caries; (C) Complete caries removal reveals a weak tooth structure necessitating pre-endo buildup; (D) Teeth restored with a composite resin before initiating the endodontic procedure; (E and F) Post-endodontic restoration using fiber posts and composite resins to ensure a proper coronal seal three-dimensionally. Courtesy: Dr Jojo Kottoor, MDS, Reader, Royal Dental College, Palakkad, Kerala, India

Figs 8A to G: Indirect onlay restoration. (A) Previous multiple attempts at restoration left the occlusal surface in shambles. Diagnosis: symptomatic irreversible pulpitis; (B and C) Single-visit endodontics was performed, tooth restored with the hybrid composite resin, and prepared for a ceramic onlay; (D) Dentin girth preserved with the intact buccal wall; (E to G) Photograph and radiograph after luting the onlay with the dual-cure resin cement.
also undergoes chemical shrinkage during hydration. Moreover, MOE of MTA ranges between 14 and 18 GPa, close to that of dentin, so that MTA should at least theoretically reinforce the roots. A classic secondary monoblock that can act homogenously as a single unit, again theoretically, is the epoxy resin-embedded carbon/glass fiber posts luted with resin cement to root dentin. However, *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies could not validate this claim, even when the epoxy resin was replaced by the highly cross-linked methacrylate resin matrix free of the oxygen-inhibiting layer that will have a better bonding with the methacrylate-based resin cement. As of the present, this may be considered by far the most ideal monoblock.

**Clinical Tips for Effective Combination of MIE and EERP**

As stated in the beginning, it is always best to start planning with the end in mind. The effectiveness of the planning will be in finding the right balance between “not enough” and “too much.” During diagnosis of endodontic lesions, the clinician should assess the tooth for restorability, periodontal status, and occlusal function.

Pre-endodontic buildup is part of the MIE process and helps in assessing the remaining tooth structure and plan or replan the final restoration in the initial stages. In some clinical conditions, periodontally compromised teeth need to be retained for a short term or in elderly patients to avoid extensive treatment options. Restoration of such teeth is challenging for the clinician and decision should be based on minimal intervention till the survival of the tooth in question (Fig. 9).

A partial ferrule is better than no ferrule, if a full circumferential bevel is not possible. Even an adhesive post may not be effective if there is no ferrule (Fig. 10). At such times, it is better to do the crown lengthening procedure to obtain a shorter axial wall that when restored along with an adhesive post can help in making the restoration more predictable. The ill effects of *intra-canal* medicament (ICM) and irrigants on the root dentin and its adhesion to the fiber post can be overcome by the use of antioxidants and collagen cross-linkers.

To summarize, the following clinical situations can be considered and acted upon accordingly. In younger patients with normal occlusion and periodontal conditions, three types of situations may be considered:

- When adequate 3D ferrule is present and the dentin girth is sufficient, no post is required both for bonded restorations and extra-coronal crowns.
- Short (<1 mm) ferrule with less than adequate remaining dentin thickness warrants use of post and indirect full coverage restoration. When dentin girth is minimal, preserving this remaining dentin thickness (RDT) becomes important; hence, the use of endocrowns that take retention from the internal walls of the access cavity is preferred.
- If either one is present/absent, then a post is required if full coverage crowns are to be given. When bonded, reinforced direct composite restoration is done, no post is required.

As mentioned earlier, the decision may vary in many clinical conditions, such as geriatric patients, extreme occlusal conditions, periodontally weak teeth, high caries-risk patients, etc. An endodontist/general dentist performing both the endodontic
procedure and the PER will be able to combine both the concepts of MID and EERP to the best interests of the patient.

**Conclusion**

**Operation Successful; Patient Dead!**

This can be said of the endodontically successful but restoratively compromised ETT. The end result of the endodontic therapy should be the long-term sustainability of the ETT in the oral cavity. Shaping of root canals conforming to the canal anatomy, using more effective disinfection systems that do not require wider apical preparations, and hydraulics of bioceramic sealers that help in proper 3D seal unnessessitating larger taper to accommodate pluggers for the same promote biological success of MIE. Case-by-case decision on the PER to be placed keeping in mind the biomechanics of the ETT along with the condition in which it needs to survive; minimal coronal preparation, relying on the adhesive concepts of restorative materials and reducing the amount of natural tooth structure removed ensures improved survival rates over the years.
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